From: Sent: To: Subject: Poling, Jeanie (CPC) Friday, September 13, 2019 4:41 PM Balboa Reservoir Compliance (ECN) FW: NO to selling Balboa Resevoir to Private Developer

From: esleve@yahoo.com <esleve@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2019 3:03 PM
To: CPC.BalboaReservoir <CPC.BalboaReservoir@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO to selling Balboa Resevoir to Private Developer

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm frankly terrified at the idea of having the reservoir sold to a Private developer. PLEASE don't.

I live in Westwood Park. I'm a student and a former instructor of CCSF. As a former coordinator of a CCSF academic program, it is now very difficult to find instructors as there aren't affordable housing and many of the positions are part time. Even full time instructors find it difficult to live in S.F. SO:

* The DRAFT SEIR must consider the option of using this public land to build 100% affordable housing

The Draft SEIR states the need to "DEVELOP THE RESERVOIRS IN A MANNER THAT WILL BEST BENEFIT THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THE CITY, AND THE REGION AS A WHOLE". * The DRAFT SEIR must consider the possibility of using this public land to build dedicated educator housing

The DRAFT SEIR is inadequate because it fails to recognize the baseline condition of the Reservoir's current use by City College to serve a public benefit for its students.

* The DRAFT SEIR must consider the impacts on the public service of City College of San Francisco

educational services. The elimination of over 1,000 student parking spaces by the Reservoir development will limit students' access to CCSF-- a commuter school.

The City already owns this land, why sell it to developers that will not use it for affordable housing? The City can build affordable housing and instructor housing so our city dwellers can be supported.

2. I is already almost impossible to get home to Westwood Park, get into City College Ocean Campus as the traffic is already impacted by new growth. There is usually stopped traffic, sometimes backed up onto the 280 south bound freeway going to the Ocean Ave. exit. With any more than the original 425 -500 units, it will be a more dangerous and frustrating situation.

From the 425-500 units indicated in the PEIR to the 1,100-1,550 units indicated in the Draft SEIR constitutes an increase of 109.9% to 264.7% over and above the Balboa Park Station PEIR. The increased number of units between the BPS Program EIR to the Reservoir Subsequent EIR constitutes "substantial unplanned growth."

* The DRAFT SEIR must consider the impact of the change of zoning

We Need affordable housing in our neighborhood, not MORE market-rate housing!

* The DRAFT SEIR must consider the impact of market-rate units in working-class neighborhood

The proposed zoning change from P (Public) to Reservoir Special Use District constitutes a qualitative change of land use from PUBLIC to PRIVATE. This is being done under the aegis of "affordable housing" when, in reality, most of the units will be market-rate housing.

And Finally...best said as it has been written:

* The DRAFT SEIR must consider the impact of creating a nuisance

The Land Use Framework adopted by the Public Utilities Commission in 2012 (PUC Resolution 12-0044) states that Land may be sold or transferred when.... Use of the land sold is not to result in activities creating a nuisance.

Given the limited street parking in the surrounding neighborhoods, and the fact that the main ingress/egress to the Reservoir Housing project will be Kahlo Way, the 1100-1550 unit Balboa Reservoir Project will result in creating a substantial traffic and parking nuisance [The word "nuisance" understates the problem].

Please listen to the people of S.F. and this neighborhood.

Eve Tarquino